

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
MEDFORD DIVISION

PAUL LEIGHTON,
Plaintiff,

No. 1:12-cv-1275-CL

v.

THREE RIVERS SCHOOL DISTRICT,
Defendant.

ORDER

PANNER, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court makes a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).


Here, Plaintiff objects to the Report and Recommendation, so I have reviewed this matter de novo. I agree with Magistrate Judge Clarke that Defendant's motion for summary judgment should be granted.

CONCLUSION

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#62) is adopted. Defendant's motion for summary judgment (#36) is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 20 day of January, 2015.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Owen M. Panner", written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE